

July 20, 2017

Via FOIA Online:

National Freedom of Information Officer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (2822T)
Washington, DC 20460
(202) 566-1667

**Re: FOIA Request for Records Regarding Environmental Protection Agency's
Regulatory Reform Task Force**

Dear FOIA Officer:

I write on behalf of the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) to request disclosure of records pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and applicable Environmental Protection Agency regulations at 40 C.F.R. § 2.100-2.406.

I. Description of Records Sought

Please produce records¹ of the following types in Environmental Protection Agency possession, custody or control:

1. All records that identify current or past members of the EPA Regulatory Reform Task Force;
2. All records from January 20, 2017 through present regarding the selection of members for the EPA Regulatory Reform Task Force;
3. All records from March 24, 2017 through present containing the recommendations sent to the EPA Regulatory Reform Task Force by an EPA office² in response to the May 15th deadline set out in Administrator Pruitt's March 24, 2017 memo regarding regulatory reform.
4. All records from March 24, 2017 through present containing communications between the EPA Regulatory Reform Task Force members and each EPA office: ;

¹ "Records" means anything denoted by the use of that word or its singular form in the text of FOIA and includes correspondence, minutes of meetings, memoranda, notes, emails, notices, facsimiles, charts, tables, presentations, orders, filings, voicemails, text messages, and other writings (handwritten, typed, electronic, or otherwise produced, reproduced, or stored). This request seeks responsive records in the custody of any Environmental Protection Agency office, including, but not limited to, Environmental Protection Agency Headquarters offices, and specifically including Environmental Protection Agency offices in possession of responsive records.

² For purposes of this FOIA request, "EPA Office" means one or more of the EPA Offices of Air and Radiation, Land and Emergency management, Chemical and Pollution Prevention, Water, Environmental Information, Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, or Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization.

- a) concerning how stakeholder and public engagement should be conducted and how those decisions were made including who the office considers to be its stakeholders;
 - b) concerning how or why the means for gathering stakeholder/public input were decided upon;
 - c) regarding issues and concerns raised in the course of the stakeholder outreach and public comments;
 - d) regarding the list of recommendations submitted to the Regulatory Reform Task Force
- 5. All records from March 24, 2017 through present containing the process and criteria each EPA office used to evaluate proposals for regulations to be repealed, replaced, or modified.
- 6. All records from March 24, 2017 through present containing recommendations for regulations to repeal, replace, or modify made by EPA staff, EPA transition team members, White House staff, or others outside of the accepted definitions of “stakeholders” and “public.”
- 7. All records from March 24, 2017 through present for each EPA office containing a list regulations that, while considered for recommendation to the Regulatory Reform Task Force, were not included in the recommendations.

II. Request for a Fee Waiver

NRDC requests that Environmental Protection Agency waive any fee it would otherwise charge for search and production of the records described above. FOIA dictates that requested records be provided without charge “if disclosure of the information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester.” 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii); *see also* 40 C.F.R. §2.107(l)(1). The requested disclosure would meet both of these requirements. In addition, NRDC qualifies as “a representative of the news media” entitled to a reduction of fees under FOIA. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II); EPA, 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(c)(1)(iii)].

A. NRDC Satisfies the First Fee Waiver Requirement

The disclosure requested here would be “likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government.” 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii); EPA, 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(1)]. Each of the four factors used by Environmental Protection Agency to evaluate the first fee waiver requirement indicates that a fee waiver is appropriate for this request. *See* EPA, 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(2).

1. *Subject of the request*

The records requested here will show the departmental direction of the Environmental Protection Agency in regards to the Regulatory Reform Task Force and its objectives and

outcomes. The requested records thus directly concern “the operations or activities of the government.” EPA, 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(2)(i).

2. Informative value of the information to be disclosed

The requested records are “likely to contribute to” the public’s understanding of government operations and activities, EPA, 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(2)(ii). The public does not currently possess comprehensive information regarding the EPA’s activities in addressing public health, environmental, and other concerns related to the Regulatory Reform Task Force. Numerous news outlets have covered EPA’s Regulatory Reform Task Force and its objectives and outcomes. See Alex Guillen, *Trump orders agencies to create regulatory reform task forces*, Politico <http://www.politico.com/story/2017/02/donald-trump-federal-agencies-regulatory-reform-task-force-235353>. There is more than a reasonable likelihood that the records requested have informative value to the public and with further shed light on the operations of EPA’s Regulatory Reform Task Force. See *Citizens for Responsibility & Ethics in Washington v. U.S. Dep’t of Health & Human Servs.*, 481 F. Supp. 2d 99, 109 (D.D.C. 2006).

The records requested, to NRDC’s knowledge, are not currently in the public domain. Their disclosure would thus meaningfully inform public understanding with respect to the Regulatory Reform Task Force as further discussed below. However, if EPA were to conclude that some of the requested records are publicly available, NRDC would like to discuss that conclusion and might agree to exclude such records from this request.

3. Contribution to an understanding of the subject by the public is likely to result from disclosure.

Because NRDC is a “representative of the news media,” as explained in Part II.C below, Environmental Protection Agency must presume that this disclosure is likely to contribute to public understanding of its subject. EPA, 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(2)(iii).

However, even if NRDC were not a media requester, NRDC’s expertise in environmental, extensive communications capabilities, and proven history of dissemination of information of public interest—including information obtained from FOIA records requests—indicate that NRDC has the ability and will to use disclosed records to reach a broad audience of interested persons with any relevant and newsworthy information the records reveal. There is a strong likelihood that disclosure of the requested records will increase public understanding of the subject matter. See *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Rossotti*, 326 F.3d 1309, 1314 (D.C. Cir. 2003) (finding that a requester that specified multiple channels of dissemination and estimated viewership numbers demonstrated a likelihood of contributing to public understanding of government operations and activities).

NRDC intends to disseminate any newsworthy information in the released records and its analysis of such records to its member base and to the broader public, through one or more of the many communications channels referenced below. NRDC has frequently disseminated newsworthy information to the public for free, and does not intend to resell the information requested here. NRDC’s more than one million members and online activists are “a broad

audience of persons interested in the subject” of the Environmental Protection Agency Regulatory Reform Act, EPA, 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(2)(iii), and when combined with NRDC’s communications to the public at large, the likely audience of interested persons to be reached is certainly “reasonably broad.” EPA, 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(2)(iii). As NRDC’s long history of incorporating information obtained through FOIA into reports, articles, and other communications illustrates, NRDC is well prepared to convey to the public any relevant information it obtains through this records request.

NRDC has the ability to disseminate information collected from this FOIA request through many channels. As of June 2016, these include, but are not limited to the following:

- NRDC’s website, available at <http://www.nrdc.org> (homepage at Att. 1), is updated daily and draws approximately 1.3 million page views and 510,000 unique visitors per month. The new NRDC.org launched in late March 2016 and features NRDC staff blogs, original reporting of environmental news stories, and more.
- NRDC’s Activist email list includes more than 2.1 million members and online activists who receive regular communications on urgent environmental issues. (sample email at Att. 2) This information is also made available through NRDC’s online Action Center at <https://www.nrdc.org/actions> (Att. 3).
- *NRDC This Week* is a weekly electronic environmental newsletter distributed by email to more than 86,700 subscribers, at <http://www.nrdc.org/newsletter> (Att. 4).
- NRDC updates and maintains several social media accounts: Facebook (565,530 followers) (Att. 5), Twitter (195,426 followers) (Att. 6), Instagram (37,868 followers) (Att. 7), YouTube (19,518 subscribers) (Att. 8), and LinkedIn (9,108 followers) (Att. 9). We also use Medium as another distribution channel for our content (1,478 followers).

NRDC issues press releases, issue papers, and reports; directs and produces movies, such as *Sonic Sea*, *Stories from the Gulf*, and *Acid Test*, narrated by Rachel McAdams, Robert Redford, and Sigourney Weaver, respectively; participates in press conferences and interviews with reporters and editorial writers; distributes content on Huffington Post (Att. 10); and has more than fifty staff members dedicated to communications work.

NRDC employees provide Congressional testimony; appear on television, radio, and web broadcasts and at conferences; and contribute to numerous national newspapers, magazines, academic journals, other periodicals, and books. A few examples are provided below:

- Research article, “The requirement to rebuild US fish stocks: Is it working?” *Marine Policy*, July 2014 (co-authored by NRDC Oceans Program Senior Scientist Lisa Suatoni and Senior Attorney Brad Sewell) (Att. 11);
- Issue brief, “The Untapped Potential of California’s Water Supply: Efficiency, Reuse, and Stormwater,” June 2014 (co-authored by NRDC Water Program Senior Attorney Kate Poole and Senior Policy Analyst Ed Osann) (Att. 12); *see also* “Saving Water in California,” *N.Y. Times*, July 9, 2014 (discussing the report’s estimates) (Att. 13);
- Article, “Waves of phony charges over new clean water safeguards,” *The Hill*, June 17, 2014 (by NRDC Executive Director Peter Lehner) (Att. 14);

- Article, “Don’t Buy the Smear of the EPA,” *L.A. Times*, June 3, 2014 (by NRDC President Frances Beinecke) (Att. 15);
- Transcript, “Conservationists Call For Quiet: The Ocean Is Too Loud!” Nat’l Pub. Radio, *All Things Considered*, July 28, 2013 (featuring NRDC Marine Mammal Protection Program Director Michael Jasny) (Att. 16);
- Testimony of David Doniger, NRDC Climate and Air Program Policy Director and Senior Attorney, before the United States House Subcommittee on Energy and Power, June 19, 2012 (Att. 17);
- Article, “Pollution Still a Hazard to U.S. Beaches,” CBS, *CBS NEWS*, July 29, 2009 (featuring former NRDC Water Program Co-Director Nancy Stoner) (Att. 18);
- Conference brochure, “World Business Summit on Climate Change,” May 24-26, 2009 (featuring former NRDC Director for Market Innovation Rick Duke at 9) (Att. 19);
- Article, “Is there a ‘proper level’ of compliance with environmental law?” *Trends: ABA Section of Environment, Energy, and Resources Newsletter*, Jan./Feb. 2008 (authored by NRDC Senior Attorney Michael Wall) (Att. 20);
- NRDC Document Bank, <http://docs.nrdc.org/> (Att. 21).

NRDC routinely uses FOIA to obtain information from federal agencies that NRDC legal and scientific experts analyze in order to inform the public about a variety of issues, including energy policy, climate change, wildlife protection, nuclear weapons, pesticides, drinking water safety, and air quality. Some specific examples are provided below:

- (1) In April 2014, NRDC relied on FOIA documents for a report on potentially unsafe chemicals added to food, without the safety oversight of the Food and Drug Administration or the notification of the public. The report, titled *Generally Recognized as Secret: Chemicals Added to Food in the United States*, reveals concerns within the agency about several chemicals used as ingredients in food that manufacturers claim are “generally recognized as safe” (Att. 22). *See also* Kimberly Kindy, “Are secret, dangerous ingredients in your food?” *Wash. Post*, Apr. 7, 2014 (discussing NRDC’s report) (Att. 23).
- (2) NRDC obtained, through FOIA, FDA review documents on the nontherapeutic use of antibiotic additives in livestock and poultry feed. In January 2014, NRDC published a report, titled *Playing Chicken with Antibiotics*, which is based on the documents obtained, and reveals decades of hesitancy on FDA’s part to ensure the safety of these drug additives (Att. 24). *See also* P.J. Huffstutter and Brian Grow, “Drug critic slams FDA over antibiotic oversight in meat production,” *Reuters*, Jan. 27, 2014 (discussing NRDC’s report) (Att. 25).
- (3) NRDC has used White House documents obtained through FOIA and from other sources to inform the public about EPA’s decision not to protect wildlife and workers from the pesticide atrazine in the face of industry pressure to keep atrazine on the market. *See Still Poisoning the Well: Atrazine Continues to Contaminate Surface Water and Drinking Water in the United States*,

<http://www.nrdc.org/health/atrazine/files/atrazine10.pdf> (Apr. 2010) (update to 2009 report) (Att. 26); *see also* William Souder, “It’s Not Easy Being Green: Are Weed-Killers Turning Frogs Into Hermaphrodites?” *Harper’s Bazaar*, Aug. 1, 2006 (referencing documents obtained and posted online by NRDC) (Att. 27).

- (4) NRDC incorporated information obtained through FOIA into a report, available at <http://www.nrdc.org/wildlife/marine/sound/contents.asp>, on the impacts of military sonar and other industrial noise pollution on marine life. *See Sounding the Depths II: The Rising Toll of Sonar, Shipping and Industrial Ocean Noise on Marine Life* (Nov. 2005) (update to 1999 report) (Att. 28). The report also relied upon and synthesized information from other sources. Since the report’s publication, the sonar issue has continued to attract widespread public attention. *See, e.g.*, “Protest Raised over New Tests of Naval Sonar,” Nat’l Pub. Radio, *All Things Considered*, July 24, 2007 (transcript at Att. 29).
- (5) NRDC scientists have used information obtained through FOIA to publish analyses of the United States’ and other nations’ nuclear weapons programs. In 2004, for example, NRDC scientists incorporated information obtained through FOIA into a feature article on the United States’ plans to deploy a ballistic missile system and the implications for global security. *See* Hans M. Kristensen, Matthew G. McKinzie, and Robert S. Norris, “The Protection Paradox,” *Bulletin of Atomic Scientists*, Mar./Apr. 2004 (Att. 30).
- (6) NRDC obtained through FOIA, and made public, records of the operations of the Bush administration’s Energy Task Force, along with analysis of selected excerpts and links to the administration’s index of withheld documents (Att. 31). NRDC’s efforts cast light on an issue of considerable public interest. *See, e.g.*, Elizabeth Shogren, “Bush Gets One-Two Punch on Energy,” *L.A. Times*, Mar. 28, 2002, at A22 (Att. 32).
- (7) Through FOIA, NRDC obtained a memorandum by ExxonMobil, advocating the replacement of the sitting head of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, and used the document to help inform the public about what may have been behind the Bush administration’s decision to replace Dr. Robert Watson. *See* NRDC Press Release and attached Exxon memorandum, “Confidential Papers Show Exxon Hand in White House Move to Oust Top Scientist from International Global Warming Panel,” Apr. 3, 2002 (Att. 33); Elizabeth Shogren, “Charges Fly Over Science Panel Pick,” *L.A. Times*, Apr. 4, 2002, at A19 (Att. 34).
- (8) Through FOIA and other sources, NRDC obtained information on nationwide levels of arsenic in drinking water and used it in a report, *Arsenic and Old Laws* (2000), available in print and online at <http://www.nrdc.org/water/drinking/arsenic/aolinx.asp> (Att. 35). The report guided interested members of the public on how to learn more about arsenic in their own drinking water supplies. *Id.*; *see also* Steve LaRue, “EPA Aims to Cut

Levels of Arsenic in Well Water,” *San Diego Union-Tribune*, June 5, 2000, at B1 (referencing NRDC report) (Att. 36).³

As these examples demonstrate, NRDC has a proven ability to digest, synthesize, and quickly disseminate information gleaned from FOIA requests to a broad audience of interested persons. Therefore, the requested records disclosure is likely to contribute to the public’s understanding of the subject.

4. *Significance of the contribution to public understanding*

The records requested shed light on a matter of considerable public interest and concern: EPA’s Regulatory Reform Task Force and its objectives and outcomes regarding public health and environment. The following Exhibits are samples of relevant media coverage on the Regulatory Reform Task Force. See Alex Guillen, *Trump orders agencies to create regulatory reform task forces*, Politico <http://www.politico.com/story/2017/02/donald-trump-federal-agencies-regulatory-reform-task-force-235353>. See George Colvin, *Trump Administration Seeks Comments on Unnecessary Regulatory Burdens*, Cox – Colvin & Associates <https://www.coxcolvin.com/trump-administration-seeks-comments-unnecessary-regulatory-burdens/>. See Madi Alexander and Cheryl Bolen, *EPA, Energy Among Few Agencies Complying With Regulatory Order*, <https://www.bna.com/epa-energy-among-n73014451569/>. See Juan Carlos Rodriguez, *EPA Gets An Earful On Its Regulatory Reform Agenda*, <https://www.law360.com/articles/924486/epa-gets-an-earful-on-its-regulatory-reform-agenda>

Public understanding of the Regulatory Reform Task Force would be significantly enhanced by disclosure of the requested records concerning Regulatory Reform Task Force. The Regulatory Reform Task Force can change the way in which pesticide usage are reported, the way that asbestos in public buildings is handled, and other critical public safety and environmental matters. Disclosure of the records requested would help the public more effectively evaluate the Regulatory Reform Task Force’s aims regarding EPA regulations that protect public health and the environment. Disclosure would also help the public to better understand and evaluate Environmental Protection Agency’s actions (or inaction) on regulatory reform.

B. NRDC Satisfies the Second Fee Waiver Requirement

Disclosure in this case would also satisfy the second prerequisite of a fee waiver request because NRDC does not have any commercial interest that would be furthered by the requested disclosure. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii); 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(1), (3). NRDC is a not-for-profit

³ There are numerous other examples of national news articles that were based in part on documents NRDC obtained through FOIA. See, e.g., Felicity Barringer, “Science Panel Issues Report on Exposure to Pollutant,” *N.Y. Times*, Jan. 11, 2005 (Att. 37); Katharine Q. Seelye, “Draft of Air Rule is Said to Exempt Many Old Plants,” *N.Y. Times*, Aug. 22, 2003 (Att. 38); Don Van Natta, Jr., “E-Mail Suggests Energy Official Encouraged Lobbyist on Policy,” *N.Y. Times*, Apr. 27, 2002 (Att. 39).

organization and does not act as a middleman to resell information obtained under FOIA. “Congress amended FOIA to ensure that it be ‘liberally construed in favor of waivers for noncommercial requesters.’” *Rossotti*, 326 F.3d at 1312 (internal citation omitted); *see Natural Res. Def. Council v. United States Envtl. Prot. Agency*, 581 F. Supp. 2d 491, 498 (S.D.N.Y. 2008). NRDC wishes to serve the public by reviewing, analyzing, and disclosing newsworthy and presently non-public information about Regulatory Reform Task Force. As noted at Part II.A, any work done by Environmental Protection Agency on Regulatory Reform Task Force relates to a matter of considerable public interest and concern. Disclosure of the requested records will contribute significantly to public understanding of the Regulatory Reform Task Force and the alterations in regulations that will increase threats to human health and the environment.

C. NRDC Is a Media Requester

Even if EPA denies a public interest waiver of all costs and fees, NRDC is a representative of the news media entitled to a reduction of fees under FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii), and Environmental Protection Agency’s FOIA regulations, EPA, 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(c)(1)(iii); *see also* EPA, 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(b)(6). A representative of the news media is “any person or entity that gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience.” 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii); *see also Elec. Privacy Info. Ctr. v. Dep’t of Def.*, 241 F. Supp. 2d 5, 6, 11-15 (D.D.C. 2003) (a “non-profit public interest organization” qualifies as a representative of the news media under FOIA where it publishes books and newsletters on issues of current interest to the public); Letter from Alexander C. Morris, FOIA Officer, United States Dep’t of Energy, to Joshua Berman, NRDC (Feb. 10, 2011) (Att. 40) (granting NRDC media requester status).

NRDC is in part organized and operated to gather and publish or transmit news to the public. As described earlier in this request, NRDC publishes original reporting of environmental news stories on its website, <http://www.nrdc.org>. Previously, NRDC published stories like these in its magazine, *OnEarth*, which has won numerous news media awards, including the Independent Press Award for Best Environmental Coverage and for General Excellence, a Gold Eddie Award for editorial excellence among magazines, and the Phillip D. Reed Memorial Award for Outstanding Writing on the Southern Environment. NRDC also publishes a regular newsletter for its more than one million members and online activists; issues other electronic newsletters, action alerts, public reports and analyses; and maintains free online libraries of these publications. *See* EPA, 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(b)(6). NRDC maintains a significant additional communications presence on the internet through its staff blogs on <http://www.nrdc.org>, which are updated regularly and feature writing about current environmental issues, through daily news messaging on “Twitter” and “Facebook,” and through content distributed to outlets such as Medium. *See* OPEN Government Act of 2007, Pub. L. No. 110-175, § 3, 121 Stat. 2524 (2007) (codified at 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)) (clarifying that “as methods of news delivery evolve . . . such alternative media shall be considered to be news-media entities”). The aforementioned publications and media sources routinely include information about current events of interest to the readership and the public. To publish and transmit this news content, NRDC employs more than fifty staff members dedicated full-time to communications with the public, including accomplished journalists and editors. These staff members rely on information acquired under

FOIA and through other means. Public interest organizations meeting the requirements “are regularly granted news representative status.” *Serv. Women’s Action Network v. Dep’t of Def.*, 888 F. Supp. 2d 282, 287-88 (D. Conn. 2012) (according media requester status to the American Civil Liberties Union).⁴

Information obtained as a result of this request will, if appropriately newsworthy, be synthesized with information from other sources and used by NRDC to create and disseminate unique articles, reports, analyses, blogs, tweets, emails, and/or other distinct informational works through one or more of NRDC’s publications or other suitable media channels. NRDC staff gather information from a variety of sources—including documents provided pursuant to FOIA requests—to write original articles and reports that are featured on its website, in its newsletters and blogs, and on other media outlets. *See Cause of Action v. Fed. Trade Comm’n*, 961 F. Supp. 2d 142, 163 (D.D.C. 2013) (explaining that an organization can qualify for media-requester status if it “distributes work to an audience and is especially organized around doing so”). NRDC seeks the requested records to aid its own news-disseminating activities by obtaining, analyzing, and distributing information likely to contribute significantly to public understanding, not to resell the information to other media organizations.

III. Willingness to Pay Fees Under Protest

Please provide the records requested above regardless of your fee waiver decision. In order to expedite a response, NRDC will, if necessary and under protest, pay fees in accordance with Environmental Protection Agency FOIA regulations at EPA, 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(c)(1)(iv) for all or a portion of the requested records. *See* EPA, 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(4). Please contact me before doing anything that would cause the fee to exceed \$250.00 NRDC reserves its rights to seek administrative or judicial review of any fee waiver denial.

IV. Conclusion

Please email or (if it is not possible to email) mail the requested records to me at the NRDC office address listed below. Please send them on a rolling basis; Environmental Protection Agency search for—or deliberations concerning—certain records should not delay the production of others that Environmental Protection Agency has already retrieved and elected to produce. *See generally* EPA, 40 C.F.R. § 2.104. If Environmental Protection Agency concludes that any of the records requested here are publicly available, please let me know.

Please do not hesitate to call or email with questions.

Thank you.

⁴ To be a representative of the news media, an organization need not *exclusively* perform news gathering functions. If that were required, major news and entertainment entities like the National Broadcasting Company (NBC) would not qualify as representatives of the news media. This country has a long history, dating back to its founding, of news organizations engaging in public advocacy.

Sincerely,

Chantelle Mendonsa

Program Assistant
Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.
1152 15th St., Suite 300, NW, Washington DC, 20005,
(202) 513-6273, cmendonsa@nrdc.org

Enclosures (attached via FOIA online):

Attachments 1 through 40 (single .pdf file)